

Abstract geometric lines in the top-left corner of the page, consisting of several thin, black, overlapping lines that form a complex, non-representational shape.

AMSE318 HW1 WITH PYTHON

49004547 Seokjee SHIN

```
1 #HW1-1
2
3 #Add 0.00001 million times, showing the result every 100,000th step
4 n=0
5 step=0
6
7 while step<=1000000:
8     n+=0.00001
9     step += 1
10    if step%100000 == 0:
11        print(n)
```

Code evaluation ✓

×

```
0.9999999999980838
2.0000000000004635
3.0000000000011186
4.0000000000017737
4.999999999979879
5.9999999999420215
6.999999999904164
7.999999999866306
8.999999999828448
9.99999999979059
```



```
1 #HW1-1
2
3 #Add 1, milion times, showing the result /100000 every 100,000th step
4 n=0
5 step=0
6 while step<1000000:
7     n+=1
8     step+=1
9     if step%100000 == 0:
10         print(n/100000)
```

Code evaluation ✓



```
1.0
2.0
3.0
4.0
5.0
6.0
7.0
8.0
9.0
10.0
```





CONCLUSION OF HW1-1

- Although, numerically both exercises should get 10 as an answer, the first exercise was not able to get the correct answer due to the calculation error. When the program calculates very small number, due to its limitation from transition to binary code (0 and 1), there's calculation error.
- Unlike the first exercise, the second exercise was able to get the correct answer as it divided the number by 100 000 every 100 000th times. This way, the results can be much accurate with integer number result.

```
#HW1-2a
import numpy as np
from math import *

double_pi = pi
single_pi = np.float32(pi)

print(double_pi)
print('Number of digits of pi:', len(str(double_pi)))
print(single_pi)
print('Number of digits of pi:', len(str(single_pi)))

error = abs((double_pi-single_pi)/double_pi)*100
print('Error%:', error)
```

```
3.141592653589793
Number of digits of pi: 17
3.1415927
Number of digits of pi: 9
Error%: 2.782753519183795e-06
```

```
#HW1-2
import numpy as np

#32-bit
n=1
count=0

for x in range(64):
    count+=1
    n = np.float32((n+2)/2)
    print(count,',', n)
    if n == 2:
        print('mantissa = ',count-1)
        break

#gap
print()

#64-bit
n=int(1)
count=0

for x in range(64):
    count+=1
    n = (n+2)/2
    print(count,',', n)
    if n == 2:
        print('mantissa = ',count-1)
        break
```

```
1 , 1.5
2 , 1.75
3 , 1.875
4 , 1.9375
5 , 1.96875
6 , 1.984375
7 , 1.9921875
8 , 1.9960938
9 , 1.9980469
10 , 1.9990234
11 , 1.9995117
12 , 1.9997559
13 , 1.9998779
14 , 1.999939
15 , 1.9999695
16 , 1.9999847
17 , 1.9999924
18 , 1.9999962
19 , 1.9999981
20 , 1.999999
21 , 1.9999995
22 , 1.9999998
23 , 1.9999999
24 , 2.0
mantissa = 23
```

```
1 , 1.5
2 , 1.75
3 , 1.875
4 , 1.9375
5 , 1.96875
6 , 1.984375
7 , 1.9921875
8 , 1.99609375
9 , 1.998046875
10 , 1.9990234375
11 , 1.99951171875
12 , 1.999755859375
13 , 1.9998779296875
14 , 1.99993896484375
15 , 1.999969482421875
16 , 1.9999847412109375
17 , 1.9999923706054688
18 , 1.9999961853027344
19 , 1.9999980926513672
20 , 1.9999990463256836
21 , 1.9999995231628418
22 , 1.999999761581421
23 , 1.9999998807907104
24 , 1.9999999403953552
25 , 1.9999999701976776
26 , 1.9999999850988388
27 , 1.9999999925494194
28 , 1.9999999962747097
29 , 1.9999999981373549
30 , 1.9999999990686774
31 , 1.9999999995343387
32 , 1.9999999997671694
33 , 1.9999999998835847
34 , 1.9999999999417923
35 , 1.9999999999708962
36 , 1.999999999985448
37 , 1.999999999992724
38 , 1.999999999996362
39 , 1.999999999998181
```

```
40 , 1.9999999999990905
41 , 1.9999999999995453
42 , 1.9999999999997726
43 , 1.9999999999998863
44 , 1.9999999999999432
45 , 1.9999999999999716
46 , 1.9999999999999858
47 , 1.999999999999993
48 , 1.9999999999999964
49 , 1.9999999999999982
50 , 1.9999999999999991
51 , 1.9999999999999996
52 , 1.9999999999999998
53 , 2.0
mantissa = 52
```



CONCLUSION OF HW1-2

- In my computer, pi as an example, a single precision can produce 9 - digits accuracy whereas double precision can produce 17 - digits accuracy.
- The number system of computer is:
 - 32-bit: 23 mantissa
 - 64-bit: 52 mantissa